

Interview Summary	Applicant(s)	
	WOOD ET AL.	
	Applicant N .	Art Unit
	10/007,288	1745
	Examiner	
	Julian A. Mercado	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Julian A. Mercado. (3) _____.
- (2) Brian Tufte. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 20 March 2003 .

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____ .

Claim(s) discussed: N/A .

Identification of prior art discussed: N/A .

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet .

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The examiner telephoned Mr. Tufte to advise applicant that the terminal disclaimer filed 12-9-02 was found improper in its citation of U.S. Pat. 6,036,872, and not U.S. Pat. 6,359,333 as required to obviate the ODP-rejection set forth in the 9-13-02 Office Action. During this conversation Mr. Tufte brought to the examiner's attention that an IDS filed January 21, 2003 appears to be absent from the application file. Mr. Tufte supplied a corrected terminal disclaimer, the cited documents from the IDS and a copy of the PTO Form 1449 along with its postal receipt via fax transmission.